

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/827,009</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) GRABELSKY ET AL.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner YASIN M. BARQADLE</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 2456</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/827,009	Applicant(s) GRABELSKY ET AL.	Examiner YASIN M. BARQADLE	Art Unit 2456
Application No. 10/827,009	Applicant(s) GRABELSKY ET AL.				
Examiner YASIN M. BARQADLE	Art Unit 2456				

All Participants: _____ Status of Application: _____

(1) YASIN M. BARQADLE (3) _____

(2) David A. Grabelsky (4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 August 2009 Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Issues discussed include how new art of Gentry US Patent (6799210) may be applicable to the claimed invention. Independent claims are amended to include the features of claim 19 and some features of claims 23.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)